competent authority which included recovery or withholding of amount, suspension of supplies/suppliers, blacklisting/deregistration etc.

(c) and (d) Supplies from some suppliers were suspended on account of reasons other than charging higher rates, such as supply of inferior quality products, providing false address, threatening the Kendriya Bhandar employees and trying to coerce them to take decision in suppliers favour, pasting a sticker of different price over the existing sticker price, etc.

(e) and (f) In view of above, the question of stopping disbursement of pension to the officers Kendriya Bhandar does not arise.

Refusal of Information under RTI

3115. SHRI RAMDAS AGARWAL: Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government are aware of the number of cases during the current year wherein the Central Information Commission imposed penalty on concerned Government officers for not telling applicants that the information sought by them under the Right to Information Law was not available;

(b) whether the Commission has expressed its concern and took a serious view that certain Government Departments had refused to implement its recommendations on correcting misleading information on their website; and

(c) if so, the reaction of Government in this regard?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRYOF PERSONNEL.PUBLIC GRIEVANCES AND PENSIONS (SHRI SURESH PACHOURI): (a) The Central Information Commission has not imposed penalty on any Government Officer during the current year upto 25.4.2007 for not informing the applicant about non-availability of information.

(b) and (c) Government gives due consideration to the recommendations made by the Commission before taking a view on them.

Re-employment of IAS and the IPS Officers

3116. MS. MABEL REBELLO: Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state:

(a) The number of retired IAS officers re-employed in Government;